Prince Charles has criticised those lobbying against coronavirus vaccines, saying the jabs can "protect and liberate" some of society's most vulnerable members.

In a wide-ranging <u>article</u> for the Future Healthcare Journal in which he called for an integrated approach to healthcare, the heir to the throne also denounced those speaking out against Covid-19 shots.

"Who would have thought ... that in the 21st century that there would be a significant lobby opposing vaccination, given its track record in eradicating so many terrible diseases and its current potential to protect and liberate some of the most vulnerable in our society from coronavirus?" he wrote.

The prince, 72, who tested positive for the coronavirus himself in March last year, and other senior members of the royal family have been vociferous in their support of vaccines.

Last month, he and his wife Camilla, 73, had their first Covid-19 doses, while the Queen, 94, has also encouraged people to get a shot, saying it did not hurt and those who were wary about it should think of others.

However, the focus of the prince's article, published on Wednesday, was his message that long-term health issues needed to be addressed by integrating science, public policy and personal behaviour.

"I also believe that medicine will need to combine bioscience with personal beliefs, hopes, aspirations and choices," he wrote, calling for an openminded approach to complementary medicine whose virtues he has long espoused despite criticism from some in the medical profession. In 2011, a leading professor of complementary medicine accused the prince of "quackery", saying that he and other backers of alternative therapies were "snake-oil salesmen" who promoted products with no scientific basis.

The prince said he had always advocated "the best of both worlds" to bring evidence-informed conventional and complementary medicine together and seek a middle ground over the issue.

"Only then can we escape divisions and intolerance on both sides of the conventional/complementary equation, where on the one hand, the appropriate regulation of the proven therapies of acupuncture and medical herbalism is opposed, while on the other we find people actually opposing life-saving vaccinations," he wrote.

... as you're joining us today from Canada, we have a small favour to ask. Tens of millions have placed their trust in the Guardian's fearless journalism since we started publishing 200 years ago, turning to us in moments of crisis, uncertainty, solidarity and hope. More than 1.5 million supporters, from 180 countries, now power us financially - keeping us open to all, and fiercely independent.

Unlike many others, the Guardian has no shareholders and no billionaire owner. Just the determination and passion to deliver high-impact global reporting, always free from commercial or political influence. Reporting like this is vital for democracy, for fairness and to demand better from the powerful.

And we provide all this for free, for everyone to read. We do this because we believe in information equality. Greater numbers of people can keep

track of the events shaping our world, understand their impact on people and communities, and become inspired to take meaningful action. Millions can benefit from open access to quality, truthful news, regardless of their ability to pay for it.

Every contribution, however big or small, powers our journalism and sustains our future. Support the Guardian from as little as CA\$1 - it only takes a minute. If you can, please consider supporting us with a regular amount each month. Thank you.

Single Monthly Annual

CA\$5 per month CA\$10 per month Other

Continue

Remind me in October

VISA

PayPol

More on this story





No inquiry into €3m cash donations to Prince Charles's charity



'The man who bought London': Qatar billionaire behind Prince Charles scandal



Prince Charles: calls for investigations into 'cash in bags' controversy



Prince Charles's charities are no stranger to controversy

 © 20 Jul 2022
 © 26 Jun 2022